MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



ANNUAL CSO PROGRESS REPORT FOR 2016

Doc Num: DEPLW0059-Q2016 Rev Date: 12/21/2016

Please use the Annual Progress Report Instructions when filling out this report.

Permittee:	City of Portland		Contact Person:	Benjamin Pearson,	, PE	
Address:	389 Congress St	reet	Telephone No.	207-874-8843		
	Portland, Maine 04101		MEPDES Permit No.	ME0101435		
,		Maine License No.	Maine License No. W 008010-5T-F-R			
			Indicates Cell	Value Calculated B	ly Spreadsheet	
			Indicates	Indicates Cell With A Dropdown List		
1. Inform	ation on Combined	l Sewer System				
		·				
A. Cu	irrent sewered popu	ılation			66,490	
B. Cu	rrent number of re	sidential users (co	nnections to sewer)		14,953	
C. Cu	irrent number of co	mmercial/industri	al users (connections to sew	er)	1,690	
D. Cu	rrent average resid	ential user charge	for 8000 cubic feet per year	, (\$/year)	\$ 656.00	
E. Me	edian Household In	come (MHI), (\$/y	vear)		\$ 46,280	
F. Current residential user charge expressed as		ed as percent of MHI, (%)	(% 1.42		
G. Or	iginal number of C	SO locations at be	eginning of abatement progr	am	39	
H. Cu	irrent number of CS	SO locations			30	
I. Percent reduction of CSOs to date, (%)			(% 23		
J. Lis	st any CSOs remov	ed in reporting ye	ar, (list individually)			
<u> </u>	<u>CSO #</u>		<u>Na</u>	<u>ame</u>		
1.	43	Warren Av	enue 24''			
2.						
3.						
4.						
					1.224.046	
	tal sewer footage,	` '			1,221,049	
L. Original percentage of combined sewer to to				% 85.0		
	irrent percentage of				60.0	
N. Pe	rcent reduction of o	combined sewer, (%)		% 29. 4	

1

2. CSO Progress

A. Are you on schedule with your approved CSO Abatement Plan? (Please see attached instructions)

(Yes, No)

No

B. If existing schedule is behind the approved schedule, list the reasons why and how the permittee proposes to catch up in order to comply with the approved schedule.

The Marginal/Forest/State and Madison/Walnut projects are roughly 12 months behind schedule. The Back Cove South conduit design is 95% complete but the location is being reconsidered. Steps

C. List major accomplishments last year to reduce or abate CSOs, (list individually)

	<u>Project</u>	Estimate of flow reductions
1.	Anderson Phase 2	7.3 acres
2.	Deering Street - State to High	60 acres
3.	Sewer Rehabiliation Projects - 1297 LF of varying size dia.	Unknown I/I Reduction

D. Costs:

1) Total original cost estimate for complete program	
from initial CSO Master Plan	\$ 52,000,000
2) Revised total cost estimate for complete program	
from Updated CSO Master Plan (includes all prior costs a	and update costs) \$ 232,870,354
3) Total cost of CSO abatement to date	\$ 112,213,265
4) Percent complete by cost, (%)	% 48
5) Cumulative SRF loans for CSO abatement (see instructio	ns for more detail) \$ 52,889,757
6) Total cost of CSO projects in reporting year (see instructi	ons for more detail) \$ 3,038,435
7) Anticipated budget for CSO projects next year	\$ 20,038,530
8) Sewer O&M budget in reporting year	\$
9) Anticipated sewer O&M budget for next year	\$
10) Estimated CSO needs for next five years (include cost in	no.7) \$

E. Private inflow sources:

1) Has a house to house survey been done on a sewershed or system-wide basis?	(Yes, No) Yes
2) If yes, when?	2014
3) If no, is one planned?	(Yes, No)
4) If no, when? (For additional space, use the text box in section 2-K)	
5) Number of roof leaders removed to date	141
6) Number of roof leaders removed in reporting year	0
7) Number of known roof leaders remaining in system	0
8) Number of basement sump pumps removed to date	9
9) Number of basement sump pumps removed in reporting year	0
10) Number of known sump pumps remaining in system	
11) Number of known foundation drains to system	
12) Do you charge a surcharge for private sources?	(Yes, No) No
13) If yes, how much and what unit?	Per 100 c.f.
	(Each, Per 100 c.f.)

2

F. Other inflow sources

1) Number of catch basins removed this year

2) Number of catch basins remaining in system

3) Are you aware of any wetlands/bogs draining to sewer?

4) Are you aware of any streams intercepted by sewer?

5) If yes to 3 or 4, what plans are there to deal with them?

	6,273
Yes, No)	Yes
Yes, No)	No

Continued implementation of the CSO Master Plan and CMOM programs will result in the reduction and/or removal of direct wetland connections into sewer.

G. Results of any specific flow monitoring to determine effectiveness of previous CSO abatement projects. Compare actual CSO abatement with projections made during the CSO Master Plan.

A table of CSO Monitoring is available upon request. Monitoring by the PWD in 2016 continues to be evaluated against the SWMM for calibration of the model and population of the attached annual discharge table. Additional monitoring was conducted within the Baxter Boulevard watershed for calibration of the model associated with the Back Cove South Storage Conduit and Back Cove West

- H. Yearly precipitation, CSO events, volumes, or block test data.(Enter data on Excel spreadsheet: CSO Activity & Volumes.xlsx)
- I. Work done on the Nine Minimum Controls during the year.
 - 1) Results of operation and maintenance (O&M) program for the sewer system and combined sewer system overflows during the year.
 - a. Who is responsible for combined sewer system O&M?

Name Christopher Branch, PE
Title Director of Public Works
Dept. Public Works
Size Staff 38

Tel. No. **207-874-8801**

b. Inspection schedules

Number of CSO regulators Number of tide gates Number of pump stations Number of CSO outfalls 39 9 20 30 Inspection interval Inspection interval Inspection interval As Needed
Quarterly
Daily
Annually

c.	Dogument the following entirities to	hat ware performed an	d include the tens or a	uhia varda af dahri
	Document the following activities t removed last year from catch basins	-	d include the tons of c	ubic yards of debris
	Catch Basin Cleaning			
	Total # of Basins	# of Basins Cleaned		
	Last Year	Last Year		Debris Removed
	6273			
	(Please attach cleaning schedule if a	available)	•	(Tons, Cu. Yds.
	Sewer Cleaning			
	Total Combined	Footage Cleaned		
	Sewer	Last Year		Debris Removed
	lin. ft.		lin. ft.	
	(Please attach cleaning schedule if a	available)	1	(Tons, Cu. Yds.
	Pump Station Cleaning Cleaning Frequency Quarterly	Inspection Frequency 2/Week		
	TV Work Sewer & Storm Footage Televised 107,408 lin. ft.	TV Frequency Daily	1	
	Smoke Testing Sewer & Storm Footage Tested lin. ft.	Dates of Smoke Testin	g (mm/dd/yy)	
	Infiltration/Inflow Study I/I Study Was Performed On Under Feet Of See	ewer		

4

2) Maximum Use of the Collection System for Storage

Maximum use of the collection system for storage means making relatively simple modifications to the combined sewer system to enable the system itself to store wet weather flows until downstream sewers and treatment facilities can handle them. The municipality should evaluate more complex modifications as part of the long-term control plan.

ow						
low						
low						
low						
low						
Document attempts last year to retard inflows to the system by use of special gratings or flow control type devices.						
The City continues to utilize innovative green infrastructure methods to retard inflows such as underdrained soil filters, rain gardens and filterra units on sewer separation projects.						
ws such ects.						
ally)						
je - je						

Attach a schedule for implementation of any minor construction associated with maximizing the collection system for storage.

5

3) Review any Modification of the Industrial Pretreatment Program to Assure that CSO Impacts Are Minimized

The municipality should determine whether nondomestic sources are contributing to CSO impact and, if so, investigate ways to control them. The objective of this control is to minimize the impacts of discharges into combined sewer systems from significant nondomestic sources (i.e., industrial and commercial sources during wet weather events, and to minimize CSO occurrences by modifying inspection, reporting, and oversight procedures within the approved pretreatment program.

Do you have an industry that significantly impacts a CSO?

What measures or modifications were taken last year to insure that nondomestic sources are not
contributing to CSO impacts. (Examples of measures: Inventory of nondomestic discharges to the

combined sewer, assessment of nondomestic discharges on CSOs, evaluation of feasible modifications)

(Yes, No)

The City of Portland Industrial Pretreatment Program continues to work with significant Industrial/Commercial dischargers to focus their efforts in reducing their water use and to recover POTW plant capacity during storm events.

4) Maximization of Flow to the POTW for Treatment

Maximizing flow to the POTW entails simple modifications to the combined sewer system and treatment plant to enable as much wet weather flow as possible to reach the treatment plant. The objective of this minimum control is to reduce the magnitude, frequency, and duration of CSOs that flow untreated into receiving waters.

a. List any change completed or planned last year to maximize flow to the POTW. (list individually)

PLANNED PHYSICAL	ESTIMATED	ESTIMATED	ESTIMATED	ESTIMATED
CHANGE	COST (\$)	COMPLETION	YEARLY	YEARLY
		DATE	DECREASE IN	DECREASE IN
		(MM/DD/YY)	EVENTS	VOLUME (MG)

6

5) Prohibition of CSO Discharges During Dry Weather

6)

This control includes all measures taken to ensure that the combined sewer system does not overflow during dry weather flow conditions. Dry weather overflow control measures include improved O&M as well as physical changes to regulator and overflow devices.

a.	Did you have a dry weather CSO discharge during the las	st year?	(Yes, No)
	If yes, explain (list individually). Do not report Sanitary	Sewer Overflows (SS	Os) below.
1.			
2.			
3.			
4. 5.			
<i>J</i> ,			
Co	ntrol of Solid and Floatable Material in CSO Discharges		
	e intent of this control is to document that low cost control fuce solids and floatables discharged from CSOs to the ma		-
a.	List any of the following control measures that were implestionables discharged from CSOs. If control measures we	•	
	Baffles in Regulators or Overflow Structures:		
	Number of Baffles Installed:	Success:	
			(Good, Fair, Poor)
	Trash Racks in CSO Discharge Structures:	٦ ~	
	Number of Trash Racks Installed:	Success:	(Cond. Fring Doon)
	Catch Basin Modifications:		(Good, Fair, Poor)
	Number of Modifications:	Success:	
		_	(Good, Fair, Poor)

7

	End of Pipe Nets:		
	Number of Nets Installed:	Success:	
		_	(Good, Fair, Poor)
	Litter Controls:		
	Litter Control: (Yes, No)		
		_	(Good, Fair, Poor)
	Other Controls:		
	Type of Control	Success:	
		_	(Good, Fair, Poor)
b.	The estimated amount of solids and floatables removed measures.	last year by implementi	ng the above control
		(Tons, Cu. Yds.)	
	(Attach any schedules and associated costs for impleme	ntation of this control.)	

7) Pollution Prevention Programs That Focus on Contaminant Reduction Activities

The seventh minimum control, pollution prevention, is intended to keep contaminants from entering the combined sewer system and thus receiving water via CSOs.

a. Document any of the following efforts last year to implement this control.

Public education or increased awareness programs that encourage water conservation and could decrease dry weather sanitary flow to the POTW and increase the volume of wet weather flows that can be treated at the POTW.

Public informational meetings to discuss CSO projects and their impacts on the environment. Portland Water District continues education through our website and environmental coordinators. The Portland Water District has distributed several pamphlets describing items which should not be flushed down the sewer and the affects they can have on the treatment plant and the environment. The Water Resources Division of Public Works

Strategic placement of garbage receptacles, more efficient garbage collection.

The City of Portland is using a single source collection of recyclables to allow for quicker and more efficient collection. The Public Works Department, thru the waste management division, manages this program, tied to improvements to the ecomaine MRF. The City has begun using Big Belly's which are solar powered compactors. The City has also placed recycling containers in parks to reduce the amount of recycling found in the trash.

Street sweeping efforts with estimate of material removed (tons/yr, cy/yr).

Sweeping activitices collected 1,493 tons in 2015 (including 260 tons from within the Cpaisic Brook Watershed). As reported in the previous year's report, Public Works staff has worked to reduce the amount of sand during winter operations.

Anti-litter campaigns; campaigns through public outreach and public service announcements employed to educate the public about effects of littering, over fertilizing, pouring used motor oil down catch basins, etc.

Public outreach continues with the e-card system at the Riverside Recycling Center. This better tracks individual household waste production and allows for universal waste recycling. Public Works has a household hazardous waste drop-off program at the Riverside Recycling Center.

Efforts to eliminate illegal dumping. Programs such as law enforcement and public education aimed at controlling illegal dumping of litter, tires, and other materials into water bodies or onto the ground.

The City continues to distribute public letters to inform and curtail dumping of yard waste within Capisic Brook and Fall Brook watersheds. A concerted effort is also made in areas found during outfall inspections exhibiting dumping.

b.	Does the community have a hazardous waste collection program?		(Yes, No)	Yes
	If yes, how often is it collected?	Monthly		
	If yes, how much hazardous waste is collected?	39,822 pounds		

9

C.	and contact information.					
	Christopher Branch, PE - Director of Public Works - cbranch@portlandmaine.gov Nancy Gallinaro - Water Resources Manager -neg@portlandmaine.gov Doug Roncarati - Stormwater Coordinator - dar@portlandmaine.gov					
d.	List and describe stormwater pollution prevention measures and BMPs (e.g., biofilters, bioswales, rain gardens) completed or planned for implementation. Attach any schedules and cost estimates associated with this control.					
	The City is utilizing baffle boxes, vortex concentrating manholes, Filtera boxes and rain gardens on storm drain systems to reduce sediment and pollutants load entering the receiving waters. The City utilizes hoods in the catch basin sumps to reduce floatables from entering system. The City requires a 3 foot sump on all catch basins to collect sediment and they are					
on	te objective of this control is to ensure that the public receives adequate notification of CSO impacts pertinent water use areas. Of particular concern are beach and recreational areas that are affected pollutants discharged in CSOs.					
a.	Locations where signs are posted.					
	Are all CSO outfalls locations marked with a sign in accordance with your permit? (Yes, No) Yes					
	List any other locations where CSO signs are posted (public beach, information center, town office, etc.).					
b. 1	List dates of CSO informational public hearings or meetings last year. (list individually) 2.					

10

c. List any other measures to inform the public that occurred last year (e.g. radio announcements, flyers, social media, dedicated webapge).

Project informational letters during construction. Monthly project updates to Council.

9) Monitoring to Effectively Characterize CSO Impacts and the Effectiveness of CSO Controls

The ninth minimum control involves visual inspection and other simple methods to determine the occurrence and apparent impacts of CSOs. This minimum control is an initial characterization of the combined sewer system to collect and document information on overflow occurrences and known water quality problems and incidents, such as beach or shellfish bed closures, that reflect use impairments caused by CSOs. Changes in the occurrences of such incidents can provide a preliminary indication of the effectiveness of the Nine Minimum Controls.

a. Check off and fill in information on the following monitoring methods used in overflow structures: (list individually)

Flow Meters				
Locations	Frequency Data Collected			
1. Remote Daily Reading at 29 CSO 2. 3. 4.	As Needed			
Blocks				
Locations	Inspection Frequency			
Visual as needed at 1 location	As Needed			
Chalklines				
Locations	Inspection Frequency			
Other monitoring methods?				
A listing of CSO monitoring methods is available upon request.				

11

b.	Has a hydraulic/hydrologic model been developed? (Yes, No) Yes Is the model used to report CSO discharge occurrences? (Yes, No) Yes Has it been updated to reflect collection system asset changes: (Yes, No) Yes If yes, when was the model last updated? (mm/dd/yy)						
c.	CSO impacts to swimming beaches and shellfishing areas.						
	List any swimming beaches that may be impacted by your CSOs. (list individually)						
1. 2.	East End Beach						
	Does your community or other entity test the water quality at beaches or near your CSOs?						
	(Yes, No) Yes Frequency? 3/week						
	If yes, list dates of test and results Dates Results						
	(mm/dd/yy)						
	(mm/dd/yy)						
	(mm/dd/yy)						
	Any beach closing last year? (Yes, No)						
	Were they caused, in whole or in part by CSOs? (Yes, No)						
	What are the procedures for notifying the public of beach closures?						
	Public posting at entrance to beach and flying a colored flag. Public posting of the						
	information is also available on the Maine Healthy Beaches website located at						
	http://www.mainehealthybeaches.org/						
	List any shellfishing areas that may be impacted by your CSOs. (list individually)						
	Open Conditionally Opened Closed						
1.	Portland Area 13-A						
2.							
3.							
4.							

12

	Any snellfish areas closed last year?	(Yes, No)			
	If yes, list dates indivdually:				
	(mm/dd/yy) Permanent Closed				
	(mm/dd/yy)				
	If yes, were the closures caused, in whole or in part by CSOs?	(Yes, No)			
	Please provide a map showing any swimming beaches or shellfish	area that may be impacted by			
your CSOs.					
	Please provide results of any receiving water quality tests or CSO	sampling tests done last year.			
J.	List any sewer extensions and new commercial or industrial flows added d				
	nitigating measures implemented to prevent these flows from contributing to CSO flows.				
	A table presenting new residential, commercial or industrial developm				
	capacity letters allowing connection to the sewer system is available up	oon request. An expected daily			
	flow and project status has been included with the table.				
K.	Please add any other information on CSOs that you feel is important, but the	ne form did not allow for.			
	In 2016, an estimated XXXXXXX gallons of wet weather flow was con	· ·			
	End WWTF. This volume represented XX% of the total wet weather flow generated by the City's				
	collection system. This flow received primary treatment followed by disinfection. Additional				
		Report including: Haz Waste, Recycling, Development, Sewer Usage, and CSO Flow			
	Monitoring is available upon request.				

13